

Assessment of Risk Factors for Postoperative Delirium in Older Adults Who Underwent Spinal Surgery and Identifying Associated Biomarkers Using Exosomal Protein

Baek, Wonhee^{1,†} · Lee, JuHee² · Jang, Yeonsoo² · Kim, Jeongmin³ · Shin, Dong Ah⁴ · Park, Hyunki² · Koo, Bon-Nyeo³ · Lee, Hyangkyu²

¹Department of Nursing, Yonsei University Graduate School, Seoul

²Mo-Im Kim Nursing Research Institute, College of Nursing, Yonsei University, Seoul

³Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, Anesthesia and Pain Research Institute, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul

⁴Department of Neurosurgery, Spine and Spinal Cord Institute, Severance Hospital, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

Purpose: With an increase in the aging population, the number of patients with degenerative spinal diseases undergoing surgery has risen, as has the incidence of postoperative delirium. This study aimed to investigate the risk factors affecting postoperative delirium in older adults who had undergone spine surgery and to identify the associated biomarkers. **Methods:** This study is a prospective study. Data of 100 patients aged ≥ 70 years who underwent spinal surgery were analyzed. Demographic data, medical history, clinical characteristics, cognitive function, depression symptoms, functional status, frailty, and nutritional status were investigated to identify the risk factors for delirium. The Confusion Assessment Method, Delirium Rating Scale-R-98, and Nursing Delirium Scale were also used for diagnosing delirium. To discover the biomarkers, urine extracellular vesicles (EVs) were analyzed for tau, ubiquitin carboxy-terminal hydrolase L1 (UCH-L1), neurofilament light, and glial fibrillary acidic protein using digital immunoassay technology. **Results:** Nine patients were excluded, and data obtained from the remaining 91 were analyzed. Among them, 18 (19.8%) developed delirium. Differences were observed between participants with and without delirium in the contexts of a history of mental disorder and use of benzodiazepines ($p = .005$ and $p = .026$, respectively). Tau and UCH-L1—concentrations of urine EVs—were comparatively higher in participants with severe delirium than that in participants without delirium ($p = .002$ and $p = .001$, respectively). **Conclusion:** These findings can assist clinicians in accurately identifying the risk factors before surgery, classifying high-risk patients, and predicting and detecting delirium in older patients. Moreover, urine EV analysis revealed that postoperative delirium following spinal surgery is most likely associated with brain damage.

Key words: Aging; Biomarkers; Delirium; Extracellular Vesicles; Postoperative Period

INTRODUCTION

Degenerative spinal diseases are increasing worldwide [1], as is the number of surgeries; degenerative spinal surgeries

increased by 62.3% from 2004 to 2015 in the USA [2] and by 54% from 1999 to 2013 in Norway [3]. Moreover, the number of older people who have undergone spinal surgery has steadily risen over the years [1,3,4]. Postoperative com-

* This manuscript is a condensed form of the first author's doctoral dissertation from Yonsei University. Year of 2021.

* This work was presented at the International Nursing Conference, Oct. 2021, Seoul, Republic of Korea.

†Current affiliation: College of Nursing, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju, Korea

Address reprint requests to : Lee, Hyangkyu

Mo-Im Kim Nursing Research Institute, College of Nursing, Yonsei University, 50-1 Yonsei-ro, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul 03722, Korea

Tel: +82-2-2228-3302 Fax: +82-2-2227-8303 E-mail: hkyulee@yuhs.ac

Received: November 28, 2022 Revised: March 14, 2023 Accepted: March 16, 2023 Published online August 17, 2023

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution NoDerivs License. (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0>)

If the original work is properly cited and retained without any modification or reproduction, it can be used and re-distributed in any format and medium.

plications after spinal surgery include cardiovascular, respiratory, and wound-related complications; inpatient falls; and postoperative delirium [4]. It is worth noting that the incidence of postoperative complications of spinal surgery has remained stable from 2006 to 2016, whereas that of postoperative delirium has risen in the USA [4].

Delirium is a state characterized by a sudden change in the consciousness level, which may be accompanied by loss of brain function with attention deficit, memory loss, and abnormal behavior [5]. Previous studies have revealed that 8% to 40% of patients who had undergone spinal surgery had postoperative delirium at age 70 [6]. Postoperative delirium was associated with complications including wound infection, deep vein thrombosis, pneumonia, and pressure ulcers [7]. Furthermore, in the long term, it can lead to impaired functional recovery, decreased quality of life, declined cognitive function, and increased mortality [8].

Age is a significant risk factor for postoperative delirium and the likelihood of developing delirium increases in older patients when one or two risk factor precipitants are added [8]. The predisposing factors for delirium after spinal surgery in older adults include opioid use, hypertension, cerebrovascular disease, and pulmonary disease. The precipitating factors include the site, method, duration of surgery, and infused intravenous fluid volume [6]. A comprehensive geriatric assessment and patient-specific interventions based on preoperative assessment can reduce the incidence of postoperative delirium, related complications, and length of hospital stay [9,10]. Therefore, it is necessary to identify delirium risk factors to stratify the risk groups and tailor interventions to the patient's characteristics.

In particular, pain, frailty, activities of daily living, and the nutritional status of older adults undergoing orthopedic surgery affect postoperative complications [11]. However, previous studies of spinal surgery patients have only investigated fragmentary risk factors for postoperative delirium, such as disease-related and laboratory data and surgery-related factors [6]. Therefore, it is necessary to determine whether certain characteristics of older adults who have had spinal surgery affect delirium. The treatment of delirium is centered on preoperative assessment of the patient [11] and

prevention and management of the disease [10]. However, the pathophysiology of postoperative delirium remains unclear [8], and there is no solid evidence of medical treatment [10]. Hence, investigating biomarkers is crucial as they can help diagnose and understand this condition.

Previous studies that have explored the biomarkers of postoperative delirium were based on the relatively new hypothesis that the condition originated from brain injury [12–14]. Digital enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay is highly sensitive and can accurately quantify low concentrations of proteins or peptides with excellent reproducibility, which makes it advantageous for identifying biomarkers [15,16]. Plasma tau [13,14] and neurofilament light (NFL) [12] have been identified as biomarkers of delirium using Single-Molecule Array (Simoa) analysis. However, collecting blood samples from older adults and patients experiencing hyperactive delirium is challenging because of low blood pressure, dehydration, and loss of vein patency and elasticity. Thus, biomarkers must be identified using samples obtained through minimally invasive techniques, such as urine sampling.

Extracellular vesicles (EVs) are 40–100 nm extracellular endoplasmic reticulum that retains cell characteristics and information; they have recently garnered attention as potential biomarkers of cancer and neurodegenerative diseases [17,18]. EVs can easily be obtained from blood [18], saliva [19], cerebrospinal fluid [17], and urine [20] samples. They further have the advantages of stability, specificity, and immediate reactivity. In addition, EVs can cross the blood-brain barrier and exchange substances through the cell membrane [17], eliciting details about the state of the brain and body during delirium. This study aimed to explore the risk factors for postoperative delirium in patients aged ≥ 70 years who underwent spinal surgery. In doing so, it identified biomarkers from urinary EVs using digital immunoassay technology.

METHODS

1. Study design

A prospective design was used for this study to examine the risk factors of postoperative delirium and discover biomarkers.

2. Setting and sample

A study that used EVs to identify diagnostic biomarkers in Alzheimer's disease [17] predicted that 10 patients with delirium would be needed for adequate statistical power. Applying the predicted 12.5% incidence of postoperative delirium in patients aged ≥ 70 years who underwent spinal surgery, this study required 80 participants. Assuming a 20% dropout rate, 100 participants were finally recruited. The researchers recruited patients at a tertiary hospital in Seoul between October 21, 2019, and May 19, 2020. The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) patients aged ≥ 70 years and 2) patients undergoing cervical, thoracic, and lumbar spine surgery (including spinal fusion, laminectomy, laminoplasty, foraminotomy, and discectomy). The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) patients with cognitive decline according to the Mini-Mental State Examination for Dementia Screening (MMSE-DS) criteria; 2) patients with a diagnosis of a malignant tumor in the last five years; 3) patients with a scheduled surgery time < 2 hours or minimally invasive surgery; 4) patients with a history of cranial nerve disease, cerebral hemorrhage, stroke, dementia, or Parkinson's disease; and 5) patients with alcoholism or drug addiction.

3. Measurements

1) Demographic data, medical history, and clinical characteristics

Demographic data and medical histories such as age, sex, level of education, history of delirium, comorbidities, and prescribed drugs were acquired from participants using a standardized questionnaire. The missing data and surgical characteristics were also obtained from the electronic medical records.

2) Assessment of delirium

The Confusion Assessment Method (CAM) [21] and Nursing Delirium Screening Scale (Nu-DESC) [22] were used for diagnosing delirium. The sensitivity and specificity of CAM for delirium were over 94% and 90%, respectively [21]. It has been routinely used in diagnosing delirium in spinal surgery patients, and its validity has been proven [6]. Nu-DESC

can assess changes in the five delirium-related signs and symptoms. It was developed so that busy nurses could readily use it to diagnose delirium quickly [22], and its sensitivity and validity have been verified in South Korea [23]. Furthermore, the Delirium Rating Scale-R-98 (DRS-R-98) [24] was used to classify the severity and episodes of delirium; a previous study with the same participants has demonstrated its validity [25]. In addition, nurses, physicians, and caregivers were interviewed about the patient's condition; and medical records were comprehensively reviewed to make a diagnosis. Three subtypes were identified according to the characteristics of delirium symptoms [8]: the hyperactive type refers to a state with an excessive level of psychomotor activity, resulting in agitation, wandering, and hallucination; the hypoactive type refers to a state with a low level of psychomotor activity, causing unawareness, withdrawal, and decreased activity; and the mixed type is a combination of both [8].

3) Other tests to assess participants

The Korean version of MMSE-DS [26] was used to exclude participants with cognitive decline; its Cronbach's alpha is 0.83, the inter-rater agreement is 0.99, and the test-retest reliability is 0.94 [26]. The Korean version of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment [27] was used to measure cognitive function; its Cronbach's alpha is 0.84, and the test-retest reliability is 0.85. The total score for both instruments is 30 points each, and the higher the score, the higher the cognitive function. We also assessed depression symptoms using the Geriatric Depression Scale Short Form [28], consisting of a 15-item questionnaire with a total score of 15; the higher the score, the higher the level of depression. Its split-half reliability is 0.85, and the test-retest reliability is 0.90 [28]. Functional status was measured using the Korean Activities of Daily Living [29], which has a Cronbach's alpha of 0.937. It consists of a seven-item questionnaire with a total score of 21, and the higher the score, the weaker the function. In terms of frailty, the measure of the Korean version of the fatigue, resistance, ambulation, illnesses, and loss of weight (FRAIL) scale [30] was used. A score of 0 out of 5 indicates robustness, 1 or 2 indicates prefrailty, and 3 or

more indicates frailty. Its sensitivity is 0.90, and specificity in the ability to discriminate prefrail or frail participants compared to the robust ones is 0.33 [30]. Nutritional status was measured using the Mini Nutritional Assessment Short Form [31], which consists of a six-item questionnaire and is highly sensitive compared to the full Mini Nutritional Assessment. On a total of 14 points, a score of 12 or higher indicates normal nutritional status, while that of 8 to 11 points indicates a risk for malnutrition, and that of 0 to 7 points indicates malnutrition.

4. Data collection

When the participants visited the hospital at least two weeks before surgery, the researcher was offered a separate area to explain the study and obtain informed consent for participation. Considering the possibility that the patients might complain of discomfort or pain while sitting, a recliner chair was provided for comfort. Furthermore, the researcher ended the survey when the patient complained of discomfort because those aged ≥ 70 years may have vision or hearing difficulties. The researcher collected data on the general characteristics, medical history, cognitive function, depression symptoms, functional status, frailty, and nutritional status. Urine was collected within 3 hours after surgery and stored in a -80°C freezer. The researcher visited the patients daily at 8:00 am and 6:00 pm. Delirium was diagnosed using comprehensive judgment, diagnostic tools, medical records every 8 hours, and daily interviews with the medical staff in charge and the caregivers. If it was unclear whether a patient's condition met the criteria for diagnosis, we consulted the psychiatrist and anesthesiologist to reach an agreement.

5. Exosomal biomarker quantification

Protein biomarkers from urinary EVs were measured using Simoa HD-x Analyzer (Quanterix Corporation, Lexington, MA, USA), which allows multiplex detection with single-molecule sensitivity. Simoa technology is a highly sensitive digital immunoassay that accurately measures candidate biomarkers at low concentrations in biofluids such as blood, urine, or cerebrospinal fluid. Four neurological markers—to-

tal tau, ubiquitin carboxy-terminal hydrolase L1 (UCH-L1), glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), and NFL—were quantified using the Simoa Neurology 4-plex assay kit (Quanterix; Cat. No. 102153, Billerica, MA, USA) [32]. An exosomal protein analysis was conducted on all participants with delirium. We selected and analyzed 54 participants without delirium as a control group. We matched these participants to those with delirium based on sex, age, type of surgery, and surgical time. All samples were analyzed in duplicate, and technicians running the assays were blinded to all data. Data were not used if the intra-assay or inter-assay performance was higher than 20%, which occurred in less than 5% of the samples. The lower limits of quantification were 0.241 pg/mL, 0.467 pg/mL, 5.45 pg/mL, and 0.053 pg/mL for NFL, GFAP, UCH-L1, and tau, respectively.

6. Data analysis

Depending on the variable characteristics, the participants' general characteristics were reported as frequencies, percentages, averages, standard deviations, medians, first quartiles, or third quartiles. Subsequently, the differences between the participants with and without delirium were analyzed using an independent t-test, a Wilcoxon rank-sum test, a Fisher's exact test, and a chi-square test. Outlier values of tau, UCH-L1, GFAP, and NFL concentrations were calculated using the interquartile range rule formula and presented in quartiles. Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used to confirm the difference in the concentrations of tau, UCH-L1, GFAP, and NFL between the participants with and without delirium. As the severity of delirium varied widely, protein levels in six participants with the highest severity of 30% delirium and six matched participants who did not have delirium were analyzed using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. The variables used for matching were age, sex, length of surgery, and type of surgery. All data were analyzed using the R program version 4.0.0 (<http://https://www.r-project.org>) with significance set at $p < .05$.

7. Ethical consideration

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Yonsei University Health System (IRB No. 4-2019-0654).

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from all study participants.

RESULTS

1. Incidence, duration, and degree of delirium

Data from 100 patients aged ≥ 70 years who underwent spinal surgery were analyzed. Among them, nine were excluded because of decline ($n = 2$), surgical complications ($n = 2$), and surgery cancellation ($n = 5$). Thus, 91 patients formed the study population; 18 (19.8%) developed postoperative delirium. Of these 18 patients, 13 (72.2%) were women. Further, the average age of the study population was 76.5 ± 3.3 years. Fifteen of these patients (83.3%) underwent lumbar surgery, and thirteen (72.2%) had fusion surgeries. According to the delirium subtype, nine patients were classified as hyperactive (50%), three as hypoactive (17%), and six (33%) as mixed. The duration of delirium was more than nine days in two patients. Delirium severity scores ranged

between 6–36 points (Table 1).

2. Characteristics of participants with or without delirium

Table 2 shows the differences in the demographics, medical history, and surgical characteristics of participants with and without delirium. There were no differences based on age, sex, final education, history of delirium and diseases, use of antipsychotic drugs, use of narcotic analgesics, physical classification, surgical site and duration, and intraoperative infused volume between the two groups. Differences in the history of psychiatric disorder (depression, anxiety, or panic disorder) and use of benzodiazepines were noted between participants with and without delirium ($p = .005$ and $p = .026$, respectively). Finally, no significant differences in preoperative pain, cognitive function, depression symptoms, functional status, frailty, or nutritional status were noted (Table 3).

Table 1. Incidence, Duration, and Degree of Delirium

No.	Sex	Age (yr)	Surgery	Onset	Duration	Subtype	Severity
1	F	70	Lumbar, fusion	POD 1	3	Hyper	14
2	F	78	Lumbar, fusion	POD 1	1	Hyper	6
3	F	75	Lumbar, fusion	POD 2	3	Hyper	24
4	F	80	Lumbar, fusion	POD 4	1	Hyper	13.5
5	M	78	Cervical, Laminoplasty	POD 0	1	Hyper	13.5
6	F	72	Cervical, Laminoplasty	POD 1	1	Hyper	15
7	M	75	Lumbar, fusion	POD 2	1	Hyper	6
8	F	77	Lumbar, fusion	POD 1	1	Hyper	9
9	F	77	Lumbar, fusion	POD 2	1	Hyper	9
10	F	81	Lumbar, fusion	POD 6	1	Hypo	8.5
11	F	74	Cervical, Laminoplasty	POD 1	6	Hypo	9.5
12	F	75	Lumbar, fusion	POD 4	1	Hypo	8
13	M	72	Lumbar, fusion	POD 3	2	Mixed	14
14	M	77	Lumbar, laminectomy	POD 0	4	Mixed	14
15	F	73	Lumbar, Thoracic fusion	POD 0	4	Mixed	12.5
16	F	83	Lumbar, hemilaminectomy	POD 2	2	Mixed	14
17	M	73	Lumbar, fusion	POD 0	Over 9	Mixed	18
18	F	80	Lumbar, fusion	POD 0	Over 9	Mixed	36

Duration refers to the total duration of delirium in days. Severity is indicated by the maximum delirium severity score according to the Delirium Rating Scale-R-98.

M = Male; F = Female; POD = Postoperative day; Hyper = Hyperactivity; Hypo = Hypoactivity.

Table 2. Demographic, Medical History, and Surgical Characteristics of Patients with and without Delirium

Variables	Categories	Without delirium (n = 73)	Delirium (n = 18)	<i>p</i> -value
		Median [1st quantile; 3th quantile] or n (%)		
Demographics				
Age		74.0 [71.0; 78.0]	76.0 [73.0; 78.0]	.132
Sex				.282 [†]
	Female	40 (54.8)	13 (72.2)	
	Male	33 (45.2)	5 (27.8)	
Level of education (yr)				.611 [†]
	≤ 3	18 (24.7)	2 (11.1)	
	4~6	20 (27.4)	7 (38.9)	
	7~12	21 (28.8)	5 (27.8)	
	≥ 13	14 (19.2)	4 (22.2)	
Medical history				
History of delirium				.089 [†]
	No	70 (95.9)	15 (83.3)	
	Yes	3 (4.1)	3 (16.7)	
Hypertension				.770 [†]
	No	21 (28.8)	4 (22.2)	
	Yes	52 (71.2)	14 (77.8)	
CAOD				.716 [†]
	No	63 (86.3)	15 (83.3)	
	Yes	10 (13.7)	3 (16.7)	
Psychiatric disease (depression, anxiety, or panic disorder)				.005 [†]
	No	72 (98.6)	14 (77.8)	
	Yes	1 (1.4)	4 (22.2)	
COPD/asthma				.358 [†]
	No	72 (98.6)	17 (94.4)	
	Yes	1 (1.4)	1 (5.6)	
Benzodiazepine drugs				.026 [†]
	No	70 (95.9)	14 (77.8)	
	Yes	3 (4.1)	4 (22.2)	
Antipsychotic drugs				.166 [†]
	No	63 (86.3)	13 (72.2)	
	Yes	10 (13.7)	5 (27.8)	
Narcotic analgesics				> .999 [†]
	No	70 (95.9)	18 (100.0)	
	Yes	3 (4.1)	0 (0.0)	

3. Tau, UCH-L1, GFAP, and NFL of urinary EVs

Three samples were excluded from the measurement of tau, while one sample was excluded from each of the UCH-L1, GFAP, and NFL measurements, because of inadequate data. Exosome characteristics of urinary EVs are presented in Supplementary Figure 1. The concentrations of tau, UCH-L1, GFAP, and NFL, excluding outliers, showed no

significant difference between participants with and without delirium (Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Figure 2). The concentrations of tau and UCH-L1 were significantly different between participants in the top 30% of delirium severity, and six participants without delirium were matched with this top 30% (Figure 1 and Table 4).

Table 2. Continued

Variables	Categories	Without delirium (n = 73)	Delirium (n = 18)	p-value
		Median [1st quantile; 3th quantile] or n (%)		
Surgical characteristics				
ASA score	≤ 3	26 (35.6)	3 (16.7)	.207
	≥ 4	47 (64.4)	15 (83.3)	
Fusion surgery	No	17 (23.3)	4 (22.2)	> .999 [†]
	Yes	56 (76.7)	14 (77.8)	
Lumbar surgery	No	15 (20.5)	2 (11.1)	.507 [†]
	Yes	58 (79.5)	16 (88.9)	
Cervical surgery	No	60 (82.2)	16 (88.9)	.726 [†]
	Yes	13 (17.8)	2 (11.1)	
Duration of surgery (min)		147.0 [107.0; 194.0]	173.5 [136.0;215.0]	.381
Infused volume during surgery (L)		1,650.0 [1,250.0; 2,050.0]	1,825.0 [1,350.0; 2,210.0]	.411

ASA = American Society of Anesthesiologists physical classification; CAOD = Coronary artery occlusive disease; COPD = Chronic obstruction pulmonary disease.

[†]Fisher's exact test.

Table 3. Variables to Understand the Participants

Variables	Categories	Without delirium (n = 73)	Delirium (n = 18)	<i>p</i> -value
		Median [1st quantile; 3th quantile] or n (%)		
Preoperative pain		8.0 [7.0; 9.0]	8.0 [8.0; 9.0]	.184
Preoperative MMSE		27.0 [26.0; 28.0]	27.0 [23.0; 28.0]	.186
Preoperative MoCA		23.0 [19.0; 26.0]	20.5 [17.0; 24.0]	.124
GDSSF		3.0 [1.0; 6.0]	4.5 [3.0; 8.0]	.096
ADL		7.0 [7.0; 8.0]	7.0 [7.0; 8.0]	.699
Frailty				.290
	Frail	24 (32.9)	9 (50.0)	
	Pre-frail	37 (50.7)	8 (44.4)	
	No	12 (16.4)	1 (5.6)	
MNA-SF				.554
	Malnutrition	3 (4.1)	1 (5.6)	
	Risk	16 (21.9)	6 (33.3)	
	Normal	54 (74.0)	11 (61.1)	


Preoperative MoCA has missing data.

ADL = Activity Daily of Living; GDSSF = Geriatric Depression Scale Short Form; MMSE = Mini-Mental State of Examination; MNA-SF = Mini Nutritional Assessment Short-Form; MoCA = Montreal Cognitive Assessment.

DISCUSSION

This prospective cross-sectional study attempted to identify the risk factors and biomarkers for postoperative delir-

ium using EVs in patients aged ≥ 70 years who underwent spinal surgery. The incidence of delirium among the study participants was 19.8%, which was higher than that reported by another South Korean study [33]. This difference may be



UCH-L1 = Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase L1; NFL = Neurofilament light; GFAP = Glial fibrillary acid protein.

Figure 1. Neurological biomarker concentrations in urinary exosomes.

Box plot of (A) tau, (B) UCH-L1, (C) GFAP, and (D) NFL of urinary extracellular vesicles; participants in the top 30% of delirium severity. Tau, UCH-L1, NFL, and GFAP (N = 12) assays were measured twice per sample.

Table 4. Tau, UCH-L1, NFL, and GFAP of Urinary EVs; Participants in the Top 30% of Delirium Severity

(N = 12)

	Group	N	Median	IQR	p-value
Tau	Control	6	4.82	3.54, 8.80	.002
	Delirium	6	29.85	13.85, 70.15	
UCHL1	Control	6	313.95	126.60, 698.12	.001
	Delirium	6	1,108.00	585.60, 1321.50	
NFL	Control	6	2.38	1.92, 2.82	.178
	Delirium	6	3.29	2.18, 4.60	
GFAP	Control	6	3.28	2.30, 6.27	.932
	Delirium	6	4.48	2.11, 7.44	

p-values are obtained from Wilcoxon rank sum test.

IQR = Interquartile range; UCH-L1 = Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase L1; NFL = Neurofilament light; GFAP = Glial fibrillary acid protein.

attributed to the prospective nature of the present study and the fact that delirium was not diagnosed until discharge. Most participants in our study developed delirium within four

postoperative days. However, the timing of onset varied, consistent with the findings of a previous study [8]. It suggests that nurses should pay attention to any signs of delir-

ium in older patients after surgery until discharge. In an American study [25] conducted on the same target population, the incidence of delirium was reported to be as high as 40%. The incidence of delirium in our study was much lower because we strictly excluded patients with a high possibility of delirium, such as patients with cognitive impairment and cancer. Therefore, this study explored the risk factors for postoperative delirium exclusively.

The present study's risk factors for delirium included a history of benzodiazepine use. These findings were consistent with those of previous studies [34,35]. In particular, a cognitive disorder in older adults increased in long-term benzodiazepine users than in short-term users [36]. As of the many adverse effects of benzodiazepine use, such as cognitive decline and dependence, the South Korean government began to restrict benzodiazepine prescriptions in 2010; however, long-term prescriptions remain prevalent [37]. Deciding whether to continue taking benzodiazepines or discontinue them before surgery is important in postoperative delirium. Omich et al. [34] reported that the group that discontinued benzodiazepines before surgery had a higher risk of delirium than those that never used or continued using them. However, most drugs, including benzodiazepines, are abruptly stopped before surgery, and only essential drugs such as anti-hypertensive are administered; thus, in most cases, there is a possibility that the side effects of abruptly stopping benzodiazepines manifested postoperatively in patients who had been taking these for a prolonged period. Given the results, nurses need to carefully evaluate the types and patterns of medications taken by patients, particularly psychiatric medications.

Moreover, among orthopedic surgery patients, the incidence of postoperative delirium increased with the severity of depression, and the depression symptoms were associated with the pathology of Alzheimer's disease as detected in the cerebrospinal fluid [38]. Depression has been associated with decreased overall perception, cognitive decline, episodic memory, performance, and processing speed [39]. Therefore, if delirium occurs after surgery in a patient with depression, the chances of them developing cognitive dysfunction should be considered, and regular follow-up examina-

tions should be performed. Furthermore, preoperative anxiety has been reported to increase agitation after surgery [40]. A previous study in Japan reported that preoperative anxiety treatment effectively reduced postoperative delirium [41]. Contrarily, a study of older patients who had cardiac surgery reported that postoperative delirium was not associated with anxiety and depression symptoms [42]. Additionally, as the number of participants with each disorder was small in this study, the individual disorders could not be analyzed separately. Further validation studies are required to better understand the relationship between postoperative delirium and psychiatric disorders.

Using urine EVs, this study explored the biomarkers associated with delirium through a concentration analysis of tau, UCH-L1, NFL, and GFAP proteins, which indicate nerve damage. EV biomarkers of Alzheimer's disease have previously been discovered through next-generation deep sequencing or microarrays of serum and cerebrospinal fluid [17,18]. The Simoa analysis technique used in this study for postoperative delirium was similar to that used by Fong et al. [12] and Ballweg et al. [14]. Most participants with cognitive impairment, including delirium, have comparatively weaker blood vessels or require minimally invasive treatment to prevent infection. As delirium occurs episodically, no difficulties should be encountered in terms of the time of sample collection. Therefore, we determined that the readily accessible urine sample was suitable for biomarker screening. Moreover, urine undergoes little protein degradation in the urinary tract or bladder and can be stored for a longer period of time [43]. As long as a urine catheter is inserted in patients, urine can be collected at any time, which can help detect the changes in the patient's cells at the time of the onset of delirium. Therefore, EV samples in urine were ideal for identifying the biomarkers of delirium after spinal surgery.

UCH-L1 concentration was high among the participants with the top 30% of delirium severity ($p < .001$). UCH-L1 plays a vital role in the proteasome system by removing misfolded or oxidized proteins [44]. Contrary to our findings, a study conducted on 427 intensive care unit patients showed that high plasma UCH-L1 concentrations were related to a

lower incidence of delirium [45]. In addition, Ballweg et al. [14] reported no relationship between postoperative delirium and UCH-L1 concentration. Differences in the results may be attributed to the dissimilarities between the participants of the present study and those of Hayhurst et al. [45] and Ballweg et al. [14]. Furthermore, the relationship between UCH-L1 and delirium remains controversial [45]. Therefore, more research is necessary to verify the relationship between UCH-L1 and postoperative delirium. Previous studies on patients with brain injuries [46,47] have shown a positive correlation between UCH-L1 concentration and brain injury after surgery. UCH-L1 has been reported to better predict the clinical prognosis of patients after undergoing brain damage, as compared to S100B, which is an indicator of traumatic brain injury [46]. Unlike delirium caused by other factors, postoperative delirium may cause brain injury due to surgery-induced tissue damage, systemic inflammatory reaction, and oxidative metabolism [48]. Therefore, further repetition studies are needed based on the premise that surgery might cause brain damage.

Tau is a protein indicating neurodegeneration; an increase in tau concentration has been associated with dementia, stroke, and traumatic brain injury [49]. Further, on comparing the top 30% of participants with severe delirium and those without delirium, tau concentration was found to be higher among the participants with delirium ($p < .002$), which was consistent with previous studies [13,14]. From preoperative to postoperative day 1, plasma tau increased more significantly in patients with postoperative delirium and correlated with delirium severity [14]. In cardiac surgery, preoperative tau levels were elevated in patients with delirium than that in those without delirium [13]. Based on our findings and the results of previous studies, it can be concluded that patients with severe delirium may have a higher risk of neurodegeneration and brain injury. However, since the urine used in the analysis was collected only after surgery on the same day, differences in tau concentrations before and after surgery were not confirmed. Thus, surgery does not necessarily cause brain injury. Since a causal relationship could not be established due to the experimental design, further studies are needed to confirm this aspect. In addition, since tau

is already used as a biomarker in the research on cognitive dysfunction such as dementia, it may potentially serve as a significant biomarker in determining the relationship between postoperative delirium and cognitive dysfunction.

This study provides new insights into the influencing factors of postoperative delirium through a comprehensive assessment and urine exosome analysis of spinal surgery patients aged ≥ 70 years. Prior to surgery, nurses should conduct a thorough drug assessment and help patients on benzodiazepines to consider a prescription change or encourage them to choose non-pharmacological treatment methods such as psychological support and tapering education [50]. In addition, nurses can use the history of benzodiazepine use and psychiatric disorders to classify patients at risk for postoperative delirium. Since the onset time of delirium varied in this study, it is necessary to consider the possibility of delirium in high-risk patients until discharge and to monitor these patients closely. This study confirmed that postoperative delirium might be related to brain damage through digital immunoassay of urine exosomes. Therefore, nurses must explain to patients the possibility of cognitive decline in postoperative delirium so that they can continue to follow up on cognitive function testing. Along with this nursing implication, training geriatric nurses to professionally use comprehensive assessments may be valuable. Comprehensive geriatric assessments, non-pharmacological interventions, and early detection of delirium by the nurse are essential in managing postoperative delirium in older adults. Furthermore, a multidisciplinary approach with anesthesiologists and surgeons should be included to remove or modify the risk factors of postoperative delirium during the perioperative period [6].

This study has some limitations. First, because the number of patients diagnosed with depression, anxiety, and panic disorder was small, the data were merged and analyzed as a psychiatric disorder. Therefore, it was not possible to explore the relevance of delirium according to the exact diagnosis. Second, since protein analysis in urine EVs did not involve measurement of the preoperative concentration, a pre and postoperative comparison could not be made. Therefore, a causal relationship to explain whether the difference was

surgically induced, could not be established. Third, the distribution of delirium severity scores differed for each participant, and the number of patients with severe delirium was relatively small. Fourth, an analysis of neuronal EVs isolated from urine was not possible because the amount of extracted EVs was small. Further studies analyzing preoperative samples are necessary to provide the basis for causal reasoning by confirming whether delirium was due to brain damage, which can occur before or after surgery.

CONCLUSION

In summary, this study was conducted to investigate the risk factors associated with postoperative delirium in older adults aged ≥ 70 years who underwent spinal surgery and to identify the biomarkers associated with delirium by measuring tau, UCH-L1, GFAP, and NFL protein concentrations in urine EVs using digital immunoassay analysis. Our findings can assist healthcare providers in accurately investigating risk factors associated with delirium before surgery and help identify high-risk patients. In addition, tau and UCH-L1 may be used as potential biomarkers for postoperative delirium in elderly patients prior to surgery. However, because this study presents the results from only 91 patients who underwent spinal surgery in South Korea, a follow-up study with larger sample size and other populations is needed to generalize these to the global population.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

None.

FUNDING

This study was supported by The Health Fellowship Foundation to Baek W. and the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (No.

2022R1A2B5B01002485) to Lee H.

DATA SHARING STATEMENT

Please contact the corresponding author for data availability.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.4040/jkan.22146>.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization or/and Methodology: Baek W & Lee JH & Jang Y & Kim J & Shin DA & Park H & Koo BN & Lee H.
Data curation or/and Analysis: Baek W. & Park H. (exosomes)
Funding acquisition: Baek W & Lee H.
Investigation: Baek W & Koo BN & Lee H.
Project administration or/and Supervision: Baek W & Lee JH & Jang Y & Kim J & Shin DA & Koo BN & Lee H.
Resources or/and Software: Baek W.
Validation: Baek W & Park H.
Visualization: Baek W & Park H.
Writing original draft or/and Review & Editing: Baek W & Lee JH & Jang Y & Kim J & Shin DA & Park H & Koo BN & Lee H.

REFERENCES

1. Ponkilainen VT, Huttunen TT, Neva MH, Pekkanen L, Repo JP, Mattila VM. National trends in lumbar spine decompression and fusion surgery in Finland, 1997–2018. *Acta Orthopaedica*. 2021;92(2):199–203.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/17453674.2020.1839244>
2. Martin BI, Mirza SK, Spina N, Spiker WR, Lawrence B, Brodke DS. Trends in lumbar fusion procedure rates and associated hospital costs for degenerative spinal diseases in the United States, 2004 to 2015. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2019;44(5):369–376.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0000000000002822>
3. Grotle M, Sm stuen MC, Fjeld O, Grøvle L, Helgeland J, Storheim K, et al. Lumbar spine surgery across 15 years:

- Trends, complications and reoperations in a longitudinal observational study from Norway. *BMJ Open*. 2019;9(8):e028743. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-028743>
4. Wilson LA, Fiasconaro M, Liu J, Bekeris J, Poeran J, Kim DH, et al. Trends in comorbidities and complications among patients undergoing inpatient spine surgery. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2020;45(18):1299–1308. <https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0000000000003280>
 5. Swarbrick CJ, Partridge JSL. Evidence-based strategies to reduce the incidence of postoperative delirium: A narrative review. *Anaesthesia*. 2022;77 Suppl 1:92–101. <https://doi.org/10.1111/anae.15607>
 6. Baek W, Kim YM, Lee H. Risk factors of postoperative delirium in older adult spine surgery patients: A meta-analysis. *AORN Journal*. 2020;112(6):650–661. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aorn.13252>
 7. Aziz KT, Best MJ, Naseer Z, Skolasky RL, Ponnusamy KE, Sterling RS, et al. The association of delirium with perioperative complications in primary elective total hip arthroplasty. *Clinics in Orthopedic Surgery*. 2018;10(3):286–291. <https://doi.org/10.4055/cios.2018.10.3.286>
 8. Oh ST, Park JY. Postoperative delirium. *Korean Journal of Anesthesiology*. 2019;72(1):4–12. <https://doi.org/10.4097/kja.d.18.00073.1>
 9. Partridge JS, Harari D, Martin FC, Peacock JL, Bell R, Mohammed A, et al. Randomized clinical trial of comprehensive geriatric assessment and optimization in vascular surgery. *British Journal of Surgery*. 2017;104(6):679–687. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.10459>
 10. Mossie A, Regasa T, Neme D, Awoke Z, Zemedkun A, Hailu S. Evidence-based guideline on management of postoperative delirium in older people for low resource setting: Systematic review article. *International Journal of General Medicine*. 2022;15:4053–4065. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IJGM.S349232>
 11. Kong C, Zhang Y, Wang C, Wang P, Li X, Wang W, et al. Comprehensive geriatric assessment for older orthopedic patients and analysis of risk factors for postoperative complications. *BMC Geriatrics*. 2022;22(1):644. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-022-03328-5>
 12. Fong TG, Vasunilashorn SM, Ngo L, Libermann TA, Dillon ST, Schmitt EM, et al. Association of plasma neurofilament light with postoperative delirium. *Annals of Neurology*. 2020;88(5):984–994. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.25889>
 13. Saller T, Petzold A, Zetterberg H, Kuhle J, Chappell D, von Dossow V, et al. A case series on the value of tau and neurofilament protein levels to predict and detect delirium in cardiac surgery patients. *Biomedical Papers of the Medical Faculty of the University Palacky, Olomouc, Czechoslovakia*. 2019;163(3):241–246. <https://doi.org/10.5507/bp.2019.043>
 14. Ballweg T, White M, Parker M, Casey C, Bo A, Farahbakhsh Z, et al. Association between plasma tau and postoperative delirium incidence and severity: A prospective observational study. *British Journal of Anaesthesia*. 2021;126(2):458–466. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2020.08.061>
 15. Kuhle J, Barro C, Andreasson U, Derfuss T, Lindberg R, Sandelius Å, et al. Comparison of three analytical platforms for quantification of the neurofilament light chain in blood samples: ELISA, electrochemiluminescence immunoassay and Simoa. *Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine*. 2016;54(10):1655–1661. <https://doi.org/10.1515/cclm-2015-1195>
 16. Rissin DM, Kan CW, Campbell TG, Howes SC, Fournier DR, Song L, et al. Single-molecule enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay detects serum proteins at subfemtomolar concentrations. *Nature Biotechnology*. 2010;28(6):595–599. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1641>
 17. Riancho J, Vázquez-Higuera JL, Pozueta A, Lage C, Kazimierczak M, Bravo M, et al. MicroRNA profile in patients with Alzheimer's disease: Analysis of miR-9-5p and miR-598 in raw and exosome enriched cerebrospinal fluid samples. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*. 2017;57(2):483–491. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-161179>
 18. Cheng L, Doecke JD, Sharples RA, Villemagne VL, Fowler CJ, Rembach A, et al. Prognostic serum miRNA biomarkers associated with Alzheimer's disease shows concordance with neuropsychological and neuroimaging assessment. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2015;20(10):1188–1196. <https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2014.127>
 19. Machida T, Tomofuji T, Maruyama T, Yoneda T, Ekuni D, Azuma T, et al. miR-1246 and miR-4644 in salivary exosome as potential biomarkers for pancreatobiliary tract cancer. *Oncology Reports*. 2016;36(4):2375–2381. <https://doi.org/10.3892/or.2016.5021>
 20. Lim JH, Lee CH, Kim KY, Jung HY, Choi JY, Cho JH, et al. Novel urinary exosomal biomarkers of acute T cell-mediated rejection in kidney transplant recipients: A cross-sectional study. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(9):e0204204. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0204204>
 21. Inouye SK, van Dyck CH, Alessi CA, Balkin S, Siegel AP, Horwitz RI. Clarifying confusion: The confusion assessment method. A new method for detection of delirium. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 1990;113(12):941–948. <https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-113-12-941>
 22. Gaudreau JD, Gagnon P, Harel F, Tremblay A, Roy MA. Fast, systematic, and continuous delirium assessment in hospitalized patients: The nursing delirium screening scale. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*. 2005;29(4):368–375. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2004.07.009>
 23. Kim KN, Kim CH, Kim KI, Yoo HJ, Park SY, Park YH. Development and validation of the Korean Nursing Delirium

- Scale. *Journal of Korean Academy of Nursing*. 2012;42(3):414–423. <https://doi.org/10.4040/jkan.2012.42.3.414>
24. Trzepacz PT, Mittal D, Torres R, Canary K, Norton J, Jimerson N. Validation of the Delirium Rating Scale–revised–98: Comparison with the delirium rating scale and the cognitive test for delirium. *Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*. 2001;13(2):229–242. <https://doi.org/10.1176/jnp.13.2.229> Erratum in: *Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*. 2001;13(3):433.
 25. Brown CH, LaFlam A, Max L, Wyrobek J, Neufeld KJ, Ke-baish KM, et al. Delirium after spine surgery in older adults: Incidence, risk factors, and outcomes. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2016;64(10):2101–2108. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.14434>
 26. Kim TH, Jhoo JH, Park JH, Kim JL, Ryu SH, Moon SW, et al. Korean version of mini mental status examination for dementia screening and its' short form. *Psychiatry Investigation*. 2010;7(2):102–108. <https://doi.org/10.4306/pi.2010.7.2.102>
 27. Kang Y, Park J, Yu KH, Lee BC. A reliability, validity, and normative study of the Korean–Montreal Cognitive Assessment (K–MoCA) as an instrument for screening of vascular cognitive impairment (VCI). *Korean Journal of Clinical Psychology*. 2009;28(2):549–562. <https://doi.org/10.15842/kjcp.2009.28.2.013>
 28. Kee BS. A preliminary study for the standardization of geriatric depression scale short form–Korea version. *Journal of Korean Neuropsychiatric Association*. 1996;35(2):298–307.
 29. Won CW, Yang KY, Rho YG, Kim SY, Lee EJ, Yoon JL, et al. The development of Korean activities of daily living (K–ADL) and Korean instrumental activities of daily living (K–IADL) scale. *Journal of the Korean Geriatrics Society*. 2002;6(2):107–120.
 30. Jung HW, Yoo HJ, Park SY, Kim SW, Choi JY, Yoon SJ, et al. The Korean version of the FRAIL scale: Clinical feasibility and validity of assessing the frailty status of Korean elderly. *Korean Journal of Internal Medicine*. 2016;31(3):594–600. <https://doi.org/10.3904/kjim.2014.331>
 31. Kaiser MJ, Bauer JM, Ramsch C, Uter W, Guigoz Y, Cederholm T, et al. Validation of the Mini Nutritional Assessment short-form (MNA–SF): A practical tool for identification of nutritional status. *Journal of Nutrition, Health & Aging*. 2009;13(9):782–788. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12603-009-0214-7>
 32. Gill J, Latour L, Diaz–Arrastia R, Motamedi V, Turtzo C, Shahim P, et al. Glial fibrillary acidic protein elevations relate to neuroimaging abnormalities after mild TBI. *Neurology*. 2018;91(15):e1385–e1389. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000006321>
 33. Soh S, Shim JK, Song JW, Kim KN, Noh HY, Kwak YL. Postoperative delirium in elderly patients undergoing major spinal surgery: Role of cerebral oximetry. *Journal of Neurosurgical Anesthesiology*. 2017;29(4):426–432. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ANA.0000000000000363>
 34. Omichi C, Ayani N, Oya N, Matsumoto Y, Tanaka M, Morimoto T, et al. Association between discontinuation of benzodiazepine receptor agonists and post-operative delirium among inpatients with liaison intervention: A retrospective cohort study. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*. 2021;104:152216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comppsy.2020.152216>
 35. Tomimaru Y, Park SA, Shibata A, Miyagawa S, Noguchi K, Noura S, et al. Predictive factors of postoperative delirium in patients after pancreaticoduodenectomy. *Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*. 2020;24(4):849–854. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11605-019-04212-1>
 36. Picton JD, Marino AB, Nealy KL. Benzodiazepine use and cognitive decline in the elderly. *American Journal of Health–System Pharmacy*. 2018;75(1):e6–e12. <https://doi.org/10.2146/ajhp160381>
 37. Hwang SH, Han S, Choi H, Park C, Kim SM, Kim TH. Trends in the prescription of benzodiazepines for the elderly in Korea. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2017;17(1):303. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-017-1467-z>
 38. Chan CK, Sieber FE, Blennow K, Inouye SK, Kahn G, Le-outsakos JS, et al. Association of depressive symptoms with postoperative delirium and CSF biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease among hip fracture patients. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*. 2021;29(12):1212–1221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jagp.2021.02.001>
 39. Jamieson A, Goodwill AM, Termine M, Campbell S, Szoek C. Depression related cerebral pathology and its relationship with cognitive functioning: A systematic review. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2019;250:410–418. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2019.03.042>
 40. Friedrich S, Reis S, Meybohm P, Kranke P. Preoperative anxiety. *Current Opinion in Anaesthesiology*. 2022;35(6):674–678. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACO.0000000000001186>
 41. Wada S, Inoguchi H, Hirayama T, Matsuoka YJ, Uchitomi Y, Ochiai H, et al. Yokukansan for the treatment of preoperative anxiety and postoperative delirium in colorectal cancer patients: A retrospective study. *Japanese Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2017;47(9):844–848. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jjco/hyx080>
 42. Detroyer E, Dobbels F, Verfaillie E, Meyfroidt G, Sergeant P, Milisen K. Is preoperative anxiety and depression associated with onset of delirium after cardiac surgery in older patients? A prospective cohort study. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2008;56(12):2278–2284. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2008.02013.x>
 43. Zhou H, Yuen PS, Pisitkun T, Gonzales PA, Yasuda H, Dear JW, et al. Collection, storage, preservation, and normaliza-

- tion of human urinary exosomes for biomarker discovery. *Kidney International*. 2006;69(8):1471–1476.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ki.5000273>
44. Bishop P, Rocca D, Henley JM. Ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase L1 (UCH-L1): Structure, distribution and roles in brain function and dysfunction. *Biochemical Journal*. 2016;473(16):2453–2462.
<https://doi.org/10.1042/BCJ20160082>
 45. Hayhurst CJ, Patel MB, McNeil JB, Girard TD, Brummel NE, Thompson JL, et al. Association of neuronal repair biomarkers with delirium among survivors of critical illness. *Journal of Critical Care*. 2020;56:94–99.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2019.12.010>
 46. Mondello S, Kobeissy F, Vestri A, Hayes RL, Kochanek PM, Berger RP. Serum concentrations of ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase-L1 and glial fibrillary acidic protein after pediatric traumatic brain injury. *Scientific Reports*. 2016;6:28203.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/srep28203>
 47. Papa L, Lewis LM, Silvestri S, Falk JL, Giordano P, Brophy GM, et al. Serum levels of ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase distinguish mild traumatic brain injury from trauma controls and are elevated in mild and moderate traumatic brain injury patients with intracranial lesions and neurosurgical intervention. *Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery*. 2012;72(5):1335–1344.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/TA.0b013e3182491e3d>
 48. Androsova G, Krause R, Winterer G, Schneider R. Biomarkers of postoperative delirium and cognitive dysfunction. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*. 2015;7:112.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2015.00112>
 49. Li D, Mielke MM. An update on blood-based markers of Alzheimer's disease using the SiMoA platform. *Neurology and Therapy*. 2019;8(Suppl 2):73–82.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40120-019-00164-5>
 50. Reeve E, Ong M, Wu A, Jansen J, Petrovic M, Gnjdic D. A systematic review of interventions to deprescribe benzodiazepines and other hypnotics among older people. *European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*. 2017;73(8):927–935.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00228-017-2257-8>